

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

TONY LAMAR CARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

RAVI SUBRAMANIAN,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:24-cv-05911-JNW

DISMISSAL ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on its own accord.


On December 4, 2024, the Court reviewed Plaintiff Tony Card's complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and found his claims to be frivolous and malicious. Dkt. No. 6 at 5. Despite the serious problems with Card's complaint, the Court granted him leave to amend his complaint by no later than January 6, 2025, to give him a chance to save his claims. *Id.* at 6. The Court warned that failure to file an amended complaint by the deadline would result in dismissal of this action with prejudice. *Id.*

To date, Card has not filed an amended complaint. On April 23, 2025, Card filed a document styled as a "Notice." Dkt. No. 7. Even following construing this filing liberally, as the Court must for pro se pleadings, *Hebbe v. Pliler*, 627 F.3d 338,

1 342 (9th Cir. 2010), Card’s filing cannot be fairly construed as an amended  
2 complaint given that it neither names any defendants nor asserts any claims for  
3 relief. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)–(3) (“A pleading that states a claim for relief must  
4 contain: . . . a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is  
5 entitled to relief; and . . . a demand for the relief sought[.]”).

6 Because Card failed to file an amended complaint by the court-imposed  
7 deadline, the Court DISMISSES this action with prejudice. The Court directs the  
8 Clerk to close this case.

9  
10 Dated this 28th day of April, 2025.

11  
12   
13 Jamal N. Whitehead  
14 United States District Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23